



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/613,343 | 07/02/2003 | Keith Gilstrap | KG0006 5135 | |
| 36489 75 | 7590 02/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | ER LEMIRE & DALE | ELKINS, GARY E | | |
| C/O PORTFOL MINNEAPOLI | IO IP P.O BOX 52057 S. MN 55402 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | -, | | 3727 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/613,34 | 3 | GILSTRAP ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Gary E. El | kins | 3727 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. lays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appl | nt, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ication to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) |)☐ This action is n | on-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date | | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,343 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a device, classified in class 224, subclass 420.
- II. Claims 21-28, drawn to a method of using a device, classified in class 280, subclass 288.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different method of use. For example, the device of claims 1-20 could be used by attaching the device to a lamppost or to the tubular frame within the bed of a pickup truck as opposed to claims 21-28 which require the step of attaching the device to the seat post of a bicycle. Also, the device of claims 1-20 could be used to secure the wheel of a wheelbarrow or merely the axle of a bicycle wheel as opposed to claims 21-28 which require the step of securing a bicycle wheel to the device.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,343 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

gee

17 February 2005